



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/051,311	01/22/2002	Jan-Michael Peters	0652.2290001	4782	
26111 7:	590 01/26/2005	01/26/2005 EXAMINER			
STERNE, KESSLER, GOLDSTEIN & FOX PLLC 1100 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W.			FRONDA, CHRISTIAN L		
WASHINGTON, DC 20005			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1652		
			DATE MAILED: 01/26/2005	;	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	10/051,311	PETERS ET AL.				
,	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Christian L Fronda	1652				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addr	ess			
THE REPLY FILED 22 October 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a inal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.						
PERIOD FOR RE	PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Is ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH	g date of the final rejection RE FINAL REJECTION.	n. See MPEP			
fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the feet of the first of the feet of the	f extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply one to later than three months after the mail	unt of the fee. The appropriately set in the final C	opriate extension Office action: or			
<ol> <li>A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF</li> </ol>						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:					
(a) ☑ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.						
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheets</u> .						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed a	amendment			
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:	reconsideration has been consideration.	dered but does NOT	place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were	newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			nd an			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to: 6 and 16.						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-5 and 11-15</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. ☐ The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ appr	oved or b) disapproved by the	ne Examiner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	it(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)					
0.  Other:						

Art Unit: 1652

## Advisory Action

Applicants' amendment and request for reconsideration filed 10/22/2004 have been considered but not entered because it raises new issues and requires the institution of new rejections. The amendment has not been entered for the following reasons stated below.

Claims 1 and 11 as amended would be rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, for lack of enablement for the recited method using any separase substrate peptide comprising the amino acid sequence EXXR, wherein X is any amino acid. The specification provides guidance and working examples for the recited method using peptide substrates of SEQ ID NO: 9, SEQ ID NO: 11, and SEQ ID NO: 12. However, the specification does not provide enablement for using a peptide comprising the amino acid sequence EXXR as a substrate for separase in the recited method. Applicants have not clearly shown that any or all of the amino acids in between "E" and "R" (except for those in SEQ ID NO: 9, 11, and 12) can be used as peptide substrates successfully in the claimed method. Thus, an undue amount of experimentation must be performed to search and screen for any peptide substrate comprising the amino acid sequence EXXR which can be used in the method recited in claims 1 and 11.

Furthermore, claims 1 and 11 as amended would be rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, for lack of written description for the recited method using any separase substrate peptide comprising the amino acid sequence EXXR, wherein X is any amino acid. The claims are genus claims that encompass any peptide of any amino acid sequence, structure, and biological function comprising the amino acid sequence EXXR. The specification does not disclose additional members of this genus, other than SEQ ID NO: 9, SEQ ID NO: 11, and SEQ ID NO: 12 are not representative of the claimed genus since other genus members include peptides and proteins with widely differing amino acid sequences, structures, and biological functions. Thus, Applicants have failed to sufficiently describe the claimed invention, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms that a skilled artisan would recognize Applicants were in possession of the claimed invention.

Since the amendment filed 10/22/2004 has not been entered, all previous rejections and objections made in the Office Action mailed 07/15/2004 are maintained for reasons of record.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christian L Fronda whose telephone number is (571)272-0929. The

Art Unit: 1652

examiner can normally be reached Monday-Friday between 9:00AM - 5:00PM. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ponnathapura N Achutamurthy can be reached on (571)272-0928. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571)273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Christian L Fronda Patent Examiner Art Unit 1652 Manjunath Rao
Primary Patent Examiner
Art Unit 1652